

Security and Privacy Heterogeneous Environment for Reproducible Experimentation

Jelena Mirkovic and Brian Kocoloski (USC/ISI), David Choffnes and Daniel Dubois (Northeastern University) Geoff Lawler, Christopher Tran, Joe Barnes, Yuri Pradkin, Terry Benzel, David Balenson, Srivatsan Ravi, Ganesh Sankaran, Luis Garcia, Alba Regalado



Societal Need

- Our nation depends on correct and reliable functioning of network and computing systems
- Frequency and impact of cybersecurity and privacy attacks are constantly increasing:
- Solar Winds supply-chain attack, which exposed confidential government data
- Colonial Pipeline attack, which shut down our major gas pipeline for several days.
- Ransomware attacks more than tripled
- DDoS attacks doubled
- Data breaches increased by 70%
- Research progress in cybersecurity and privacy is of critical national importance, to ensure safety of U.S. people, infrastructure and data.

Research Need

The cybersecurity and privacy research community needs a common, rich, representative research infrastructure, which meets the needs across all members of the community, and facilitates reproducible science

• Common, rich infrastructure:

- Security and privacy issues affect different technologies differently (e.g., different CPU architectures)
- Some emerging technology can create new vulnerabilities (e.g., IoT)
- o New technologies can be used for defense (e.g., trusted hardware, SDN)
- Infrastructure must have diverse hardware to meet wide research needs

• Flexible security policies:

Measurement research

Risky experiments with malware

Public Internet

% botnet

PyPI

Security

Software download

exploratory research

Full isolation

• Meet needs across all members of the community:

Experienced and novice users, researchers and students


• Facilitate reproducible science:

• Help researchers create, share, and reuse research artifacts

Merge SW for Research Infrastructure

Microservice Architectures for Modularity and Resilience

The Merge portal and facility codebases use microservice architectures to flexibly integrate homegrown and 3rd party services to implement the Merge APIs



Merge supports multiple facilities, which may be managed by different teams and contain different hardware and software.

Any compute/network infrastructure implementing the Merge Facility API can be commissioned as a Merge testbed facility

SPHERE Research Infrastructure

HUM portal AEC portal EDU portal

Local storage

GUI portal MAN portal JUP portal

• Diverse hardware to support diverse research needs (85% of today's publications): General and embedded compute notes with trusted hardware, PLCs and IoT devices,

programmable switches and NICs, GPU-equipped nodes

• Six user portals supporting:

- Exploratory research (MAN)
- Novice users (GUI)
- Mature research (JUP)
- Use in classes (EDU)
- Use in human user studies (HUM)
- Use for artifact evaluation (AEC)

• Libraries of artifacts

- REEs and other artifacts
- Easy reuse on SPHERE

10 GPU-equipped servers Research supported: security with machine-learning in the

loop

Local storage

USC DC

• Reproducibility support by research infrastructure

• Automatically verify completeness of an artifact and:

Help package artifacts on SPHERE (including workflows)

User action logging to alleviate cognitive load

stability, consistency of results and portability

600 from DCOMP, 312 new (Intel Atom, Intel Xeon D, ARM Cortex-A57, and NVIDIA Jetson NX Volta GPUs)

Research supported: edge computing security, blockchain security, private computing, trustworthy edge computing, federated learning

Local storage

Equinix DC

0

developers

REE library

Control server

Dedicated

48 from DeterLab, 144 new nodes, with Intel TDX, ARM CCA/TrustZone, and AMD SEV Research supported: application, system and network security, measurement, human user studies, largescale experiments, education, trustworthy computing

Tofino switches, 16 Xilinx Virtex-7 NetFPGA development boards (smartNICs) Research supported:

artifact library

Global storage

USC-ISI

smart home, smart speaker, camera, doorbell, TV, appliance, medical, office, wearable, and miscellaneous devices) dynamic (programmable) network security, SDN

Research supported: IoT security, user privacy

IoT (smart) nodes

500 IoT nodes (a variety of

Local storage

NEU IoT Lab

5 Rockwell Automation ControlLogix PLCs, I/O modules Research supported: critical infrastructure security

- Operated the only public cybersecurity testbed DeterLab (20 years)
- Built and operated the largest IoT testbed Mon(IoT)r Lab

• Dedicated team of researchers, developers and managers

security

Developed and shared Merge and IoT testbed software



Transforming Research Community

Need-discovery workshops and surveys

- Presentations and BoFs at major conferences
- Direct engagement with researchers via surveys and interviews
- Discover needs of all community members and adjust SPHERE development to meet them

Help develop standards for artifacts

- Engage wide research community in discussion arout artifacts
- Help produce specifications around proper and complete artifact documentation

• Representative experimentation environments (REEs)

- Used by multiple researchers for a given experimentation task, become a standard for evaluation in a sub-field of cybersecurity and privacy
- Contributed by research community researchers receive supplemental funding to deploy their high-quality artifacts as REEs on SPHERE

• Streamlining artifact evaluation

- Work with artifact evaluation committees (AECs) to have artifacts evaluated on SPHERE
- Artifact authors can submit their artifacts by deploying them on SPHERE
- AECs evaluate on SPHERE, make recommendations for improvement
- Artifacts remain hosted on SPHERE

• Broadening participation in computing

- Host 20 minority students per year, involve them in SPHERE development
- Provide research infrastructure to underresourced institutions
- Improve cybersecurity education via EDU portal, hosting of education materials

Visit us at https://sphere-project.net











